

HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,388	01/24/2002	Yoshihiro Katsu	JP920010010US1	3893
32074 7.	590 11/03/2004		EXAM	INER
INTERNATION	ONAL BUSINESS MAC	DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A		
DEPT. 18G	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. 300-48 2070 ROUTE 5			2871	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ah.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/056,388	KATSU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute; cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	RCE 19 August 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	·			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2002 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ot	ojected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docur		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		oplication No			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
application from the International Bu	•	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 23, 2004 has been entered.

Priority

Priority to Japanese Patent Application No. 2001-024758 (Jan. 31, 2001) is claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 2871

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takase et al. (US 5,276,600) in view of Zhao et al. (US 6,382,816 B1).

As to claims 1 and 13, Takase discloses a curved reflector having a flexible substrate. The reflector according to the Takase invention can be used as a reflecting plate (lamp housing) for a fluorescent lamp in turn incorporated into a backlight source of a liquid crystal display panel (Col. 1, Lines 11-16).

Turning to Figure 1, Takase teaches a reflector (1a) that is curved for receiving a lamp, and a reflecting film (4) formed on the inner curvature of the reflector.

Takase teaches that a transparent protective layer (light transmission region) can be formed on the side opposite to the light reflection layer:

"In the reflector of this invention, the substrate can be provided with a transparent protective layer on the side opposite to the high reflection layer. By such a protective layer, the effects of external environmental factors on the surface hardness, light resistance, gas resistance and waterproofness of the reflector can be reduced further. Examples of materials usable for the formation of such a protective layer include organic materials, e.g., acrylic resins such as polymethyl methacrylate, polyacrylonitrile resin, polymethacrylonitrile resin, silicone resins such as a polymer available from ethyl silicate, polyester resins and fluorinated resins; and inorganic materials such as silicon oxide, zinc oxide and titanium oxide. In particular, lamination of a material capable of shielding light of wavelengths not longer

Art Unit: 2871

than 400 nm, preferably 380 nm to 10% or less is preferred for the prevention of light deterioration (ultraviolet deterioration) of the silver-containing layer, which prevention is one of objects of this invention. The transparent protective layer is required to have such a thickness that it can exhibit protective effects without lowering the light reflecting ability and impairing the flexibility. The thickness may vary as needed depending on the material and application purpose." (Col. 4, Lines 63-68, Col. 5, Lines 1-21).

Takase furthermore instructs that the substrate film thickness should be at least 5 micrometers, the silver reflective layer is in the range of Angstroms, and the adhesive layer can range from thicknesses of 1-20 micrometers (preferred)(Col. 4.).

Takase does not appear to explicitly specify a thickness of the light transmission region or transparent protective layer.

Zhao teaches and discloses a protective coating for an energy efficient lamp (title, entire patent) and teaches that a protective layer that protects a layer of silver inside of a lamp housing, preferably has:

"[T]he protective layer of silica, or other oxide, preferably has a thickness of between about 0.05 and about 0.4 micrometers, most preferably, around 0.05-0.14 micrometers. This is thick enough to protect the silver against oxidation during formation of the lamp and against subsequent degradation by atmospheric sulfides." (Col 6, Lines 24-30).

Takase is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of liquid crystals would have found the reason, suggestion and motivation to optimize the thickness of a light transmission region or protective layer to guard against oxidation.

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals at the time the invention was made to modify Takase in view of Zhao for the purpose of protecting the reflective layer against oxidation during formation of the lamp and against subsequent degradation by atmospheric sulfides.

As to claims 3 (amended) 10, 12, 14, Zhao teaches and discloses teaches a preferred range of protective layer thicknesses (Column 6, Lines 24-30).

As to claims 5, 11, 15 Takase teaches a base (= sheet-shaped support body) of a given rigidity (Figures 3 and 5).

As to claims 6 and 9, Takase teaches a transparent protective layer as noted.

As to claims 16-19, Takase teaches and discloses materials for use as the protective layer and reflector as noted.

Claims 2, 4, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takase et al. (US 5,276,600) in view of Zhao et al. (US 6,382,816 B1) and further in view of Deloy (US 6,336,728 B1).

As to claims 2 and 7, Takase does not appear to explicitly specify a lamp reflector with arm portions disposed along an emitting surface and back surface and light transmission regions of a specified thickness.

Deloy teaches a flat panel display guide that has leg sections. The leg portions, in part, allow for enhanced luminance uniformity and the reduction of dead space (Col. 4, Lines 22-25).

Art Unit: 2871

Deloy is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of liquid crystals would have found the reason, suggestion and motivation to include leg portions in a flat panel display guide to reduce dead space.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals at the time the invention was made to modify Takase in view of Deloy for enhanced luminance uniformity and the reduction of dead space.

As to claim 4 and 8, Zhao teaches and discloses teaches a preferred range of protective layer thicknesses (Column 6, Lines 24-30).

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takase et al. (US 5,276,600) in view of Zhao et al. (US 6,382,816 B1) and further in view of Simpson (US 6,399, 228 B1).

As to claim 20, Takase does not appear to explicitly specify the material of the reflection layer.

Simpson teaches and discloses a reflector of Ag, Al, and Pt (Col. 9, Lines 6-10 and ABS) for high reflectivity (Id.).

Simpson is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of liquid crystals would have found the reason, suggestion and motivation to incorporate materials such as Ag, Al, and Pt into a reflector for high reflectivity.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals at the time the invention was made to modify Takase in view of Simpson for a highly reflective reflector.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (571)272-2289. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871

JDG

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY